1 2	CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS Chris Carson, Esq., SBN 280048 Raymond Ballister Jr., Esq., SBN 111282 Phyl Grace, Esq., SBN 171771	
3	Dennis Price, Esq., SBN 279082 Mail: PO Box 262490	
4	San Diego, CA 92196-2490 Delivery: 9845 Erma Road, Suite 300	
5	San Diego, CA 92131	
6	(858) 375-7385; (888) 422-5191 fax phylg@potterhandy.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	Raul Uriarte-Limon,	Case No.
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	Complaint For Damages And Injunctive Relief For Violations
15		Injunctive Relief For Violations Of: American's With Disabilities Act; Unruh Civil Rights Act
16	Now Properties LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; Powerstride Battery Co., Inc., a California Corporation; and Does 1-	8
17	California Corporation; and Doés 1- 10,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Plaintiff Raul Uriarte-Limon complains of Now Properties LLC, a	
21	California Limited Liability Company; Powerstride Battery Co., Inc., a	
22	California Corporation; and Does 1-10 ("Defendants"), and alleges as follows:	
23		,, 8
24	PARTIES:	
25	1. Plaintiff is a California resident with physical disabilities. He is a	
26	paraplegic who uses a wheelchair.	
27	2. Defendant Now Properties LLC owned the real property located at or	
28	about 10532 Norwalk Blvd., Santa Fe Springs, California, in November 2018.	

- 3. Defendant Now Properties LLC owns the real property located at or about 10532 Norwalk Blvd., Santa Fe Springs, California, currently.
- 4. Defendant Powerstride Battery Co., Inc. owned Powerstride Battery located at or about 10532 Norwalk Blvd., Santa Fe Springs, California, in November 2018.
- 5. Defendant Powerstride Battery Co., Inc. owns Powerstride Battery located at or about 10532 Norwalk Blvd., Santa Fe Springs, California, currently.
- 6. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants, their business capacities, their ownership connection to the property and business, or their relative responsibilities in causing the access violations herein complained of, and alleges a joint venture and common enterprise by all such Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants herein, including Does 1 through 10, inclusive, is responsible in some capacity for the events herein alleged, or is a necessary party for obtaining appropriate relief. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend when the true names, capacities, connections, and responsibilities of the Defendants and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are ascertained.

## **JURISDICTION & VENUE:**

- 7. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343(a)(3) & (a)(4) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.
- 8. Pursuant to supplemental jurisdiction, an attendant and related cause of action, arising from the same nucleus of operative facts and arising out of the same transactions, is also brought under California's Unruh Civil Rights Act, which act expressly incorporates the Americans with Disabilities Act.
  - 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and is

founded on the fact that the real property which is the subject of this action is located in this district and that Plaintiff's cause of action arose in this district.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:**

- 10. Plaintiff went to Powerstride Battery in November 2018.
- 11. Powerstride Battery is a facility open to the public, a place of public accommodation, and a business establishment.
- 12. Parking spaces are one of the facilities, privileges, and advantages offered by Defendants to patrons of Powerstride Battery.
- 13. Although there were parking spaces marked and reserved for persons with disabilities on the property, those parking spaces did not serve Powerstride Battery. There were no accessible routes from those parking spaces to Powerstride Battery.
- 14. If plaintiff used the parking spaces marked and reserved for persons with disabilities on the property, he would have to travel behind parked cars as well as travel with cars in the vehicular drive path to make it back to Powerstride Battery. This is not accessible for plaintiff.
- 15. Additionally, one of the parking spaces had cross slopes and running slopes that are above 2.1%.
- 16. Currently, there is no accessible route from the van parking spaces on the property that leads back to Powerstride Battery.
- 17. Additionally, there was an insufficient number of parking spaces marked and reserved for persons with disabilities. There were approximately 81 parking spaces in the parking lot but only three spaces were reserved for persons with disabilities. There should have been four parking spaces marked and reserved for persons with disabilities.
- 18. Transaction counters are another one of the facilities, privileges, and advantages offered by Defendants to patrons of Powerstride Battery.

- 1 2
- 2 3
- 4
- 6
- 7
- 9 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 1516

17

- 18
- 1920

21

22

- 242526
- 2728

- 19. The transaction counter is 42 inches in height. There is no lowered, 36 inch portion of the transaction counter for use by persons in wheelchairs.
- 20. Defendants have failed to maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities at the Subject Property.
  - 21. Plaintiff personally encountered these barriers.
- 22. This inaccessible facility denied the plaintiff full and equal access and caused him difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment.
- 23. The defendants have failed to maintain in working and useable conditions those features required to provide ready access to persons with disabilities.
- 24. The barriers identified above are easily removed without much difficulty or expense. They are the types of barriers identified by the Department of Justice as presumably readily achievable to remove and, in fact, these barriers are readily achievable to remove. Moreover, there are numerous alternative accommodations that could be made to provide a greater level of access if complete removal were not achievable.
- 25. A common barrier removal project is modifying transaction counters to make a portion of the counter accessible. This is a simple construction task, well within the capabilities of any general contractor. The task can be completed easily and for a modest price.
- 26. Plaintiff will return to Powerstride Battery to avail himself of its goods or services and to determine compliance with the disability access laws. He is currently deterred from doing so because of his knowledge of the existing barriers. If the barriers are not removed, the plaintiff will face unlawful and discriminatory barriers again.
- 27. Given the obvious and blatant nature of the barriers and violations alleged herein, the plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are

other violations and barriers on the site that relate to his disability. Plaintiff will amend the complaint, to provide proper notice regarding the scope of this lawsuit, once he conducts a site inspection. However, please be on notice that the plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. See *Doran v. 7-11*, 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008) (holding that once a plaintiff encounters one barrier at a site, he can sue to have all barriers that relate to his disability removed regardless of whether he personally encountered them).

## **I. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990** (On behalf of Plaintiff and against all Defendants.) (42 U.S.C. section 12101, et seq.)

- 28. Plaintiff re-pleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 29. Under the ADA, it is an act of discrimination to fail to ensure that the privileges, advantages, accommodations, facilities, goods and services of any place of public accommodation is offered on a full and equal basis by anyone who owns, leases, or operates a place of public accommodation. See 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Discrimination is defined, inter alia, as follows:
  - a. A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the accommodation would work a fundamental alteration of those services and facilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
  - b. A failure to remove architectural barriers where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). Barriers are defined by reference to the ADAAG, found at 28 C.F.R., Part 36,

Appendix "D."

- c. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).
- 30. Under the ADA, there must be an accessible route from accessible parking spaces to the building entrances they serve. 2010 Standards § 206.2.1. If a parking space serves more than one accessible entrance, it must be located on an accessible route to the accessible entrances. 2010 Standards § 208.3.1.
- 31. Here, there were no accessible routes from the parking spaces designed for persons with disabilities on the property that lead back to Powerstride Battery entrance.
- 32. Under the 2010 Standards, access aisles shall be at the same level as the parking spaces they serve. Changes in level are not permitted. 2010 Standards 502.4. "Access aisles are required to be nearly level in all directions to provide a surface for wheelchair transfer to and from vehicles." 2010 Standards § 502.4 Advisory. No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4.
- 33. Here, one of the parking spaces has cross slopes and running slopes that are above 2.1%. This is a violation of the law.
- 34. Any business that provides parking spaces must provide a sufficient number of handicap parking spaces. 2010 Standards § 208. Under the 2010 Standards, a parking lot with 81 spaces must have 4 accessible spaces. 2010

Standards § 208.2 and 1 of them must be van accessible. *Id.* at 208.2.4.

- 35. Here, there was only three parking spaces reserved for persons with disabilities.
- 36. Under the 2010 Standards, where the approach to the sales or service counter is a parallel approach, such as in this case, there must be a portion of the sales counter that is no higher than 36 inches above the floor and 36 inches in width and must extend the same depth as the rest of the sales or service counter top. 2010 Standards § 904.4 & 904.4.1.
- 37. Here, no such accessible transaction counter has been provided in violation of the ADA.
- 38. The Safe Harbor provisions of the 2010 Standards are not applicable here because the conditions challenged in this lawsuit do not comply with the 1991 Standards.
- 39. A public accommodation must maintain in operable working condition those features of its facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities. 28 C.F.R. § 36.211(a).
- 40. Here, the failure to ensure that the accessible facilities were available and ready to be used by the plaintiff is a violation of the law.

## II. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (On behalf of Plaintiff and against all Defendants.) (Cal. Civ. Code § 51-53.)

41. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint. The Unruh Civil Rights Act ("Unruh Act") guarantees, inter alia, that persons with disabilities are entitled to full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishment of every kind whatsoever within the jurisdiction of the State of California. Cal.

1 Civ. Code §51(b). 42. The Unruh Act provides that a violation of the ADA is a violation of the 2 Unruh Act. Cal. Civ. Code, § 51(f). 3 4 43. Defendants' acts and omissions, as herein alleged, have violated the Unruh Act by, inter alia, denying, or aiding, or inciting the denial of, Plaintiff's 5 rights to full and equal use of the accommodations, advantages, facilities, 6 7 privileges, or services offered. 44. Because the violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act resulted in difficulty, 8 discomfort or embarrassment for the plaintiff, the defendants are also each 9 responsible for statutory damages, i.e., a civil penalty. (Civ. Code § 55.56(a)-10 11 (c).) 12 13 PRAYER: Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that this Court award damages and provide 14 relief as follows: 15 1. For injunctive relief, compelling Defendants to comply with the 16 Americans with Disabilities Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act. Note: the 17 plaintiff is not invoking section 55 of the California Civil Code and is not 18 seeking injunctive relief under the Disabled Persons Act at all. 19 2. Damages under the Unruh Civil Rights Act, which provides for actual 20 damages and a statutory minimum of \$4,000. 21 22 3. Reasonable attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; and Cal. Civ. Code § § 52. 23 24 Dated: December 6, 2018 CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS 25 26 27 Chris Carson, Esq. Attorney for plaintiff 28